

MARDS Erasmus+ Dubrovnik Workshop September 5th - 6th 2019 Questionnaire


15 responses

1 General data




Country of origin

15 responses



Institution

15 responses




2. Workshop contents




The overall workshop met my interests

15 responses



I received new and relevant information for my work.

15 responses




I feel reliably and objectively informed about the workshop topics and goals.

15 responses




The workshop improved my knowledge in supervision / management of doctoral studies.


15 responses



The workshop provided a relevant overview of supervision practice in partner institutions.


15 responses






The workshop offered knowledge that I would consider innovative.

15 responses




I will work with/apply/integrate the workshop outcomes in(to) my work.

15 responses




I will inform my colleagues about the workshop and its content.

15 responses



The workshop program met my needs for information.

15 responses




The workshop format (duration, size, group of participants, etc.) met my needs.

15 responses



Lecturers explained workshop topics clearly


15 responses



Lecturers encouraged participation and answered questions fully and clearly

14 responses






3. Workshop event organization and management


Information (about travel, accommodation etc.) received before the workshop from host partner, responding in time

15 responses




General organization during the workshop

15 responses




Domestic arrangements (accommodation, transport, meals etc.)

15 responses



The information (on tasks, materials for the workshop etc.) received before the meeting from the coordinator


15 responses



The coordinator facilitates communication and collaboration


between partners. Everyone was encouraged to contribute to the workshop discussion.

15 responses



The workshop has been prepared and managed in the most (resource) efficient way in order to make the best use of the available time


15 responses



4. Quality of Project partnership


Communication amongst partners was effective

15 responses



Development of teamwork and positive attitudes was evident

15 responses



5. General impression

Please write at least two strengths (positive aspects) of conducted workshop

10 responses

Excellent communication between partners

1-Teamwork

2-The lecturers were very clear about the details of the program

Acquired knowledge; Collaboration among participants.

I learnt and have new information about organization of doctorate studies and academic carrier in our countries.

The importance of the supervisor role in a successful doctorate thesis.

Besides content given in the workshop itself, I feel that it gave me enough information on how to find and where to look for further content on the topic when it becomes needed. Also, it was motivating.

I think the two strengths of the training were the role of the supervisor and the efficiency in the work of the supervisor

Clear presentations by professor Melita Kovačević. Useful information about social, cultural and emotional competences of PhD candidates and their role in the process of research.

Increase the network with colleagues in other institutions inside and outside the country. Gives a structured overview of the issues faces during the supervision of PhD students.

Contemporary practices are used
Project lecturers very well prepared

Teamwork and creating connections was a great opportunity offered by the workshop

Please write at least two weaknesses of this workshop

8 responses

To long leacturing

For me everything was perfect

May be it is need more time to have information about the application of doctorate candidate in different countries as we have new procedure based in new Higher Education Law.
We have some difficulties in this direction.

The format (the use of time) could have been better, it was too little time for too much content.

do not identify any training weaknesses for the time available

I'm not sure that professor (male professor) was so good in explaining several tasks for group work.
Except that, some questions for group work should be expressed better.

During the presentations a set of possible issues were introduced and discussed but most of the time we missed possible solution adopted by well established institutions. I am aware that there are no formulas in such cases but common practices and success stories could be shared.

I didn't notice

What should be taken into account for the next workshop / suggestions?

6 responses

How can be proposed new doctorate project and the steps to follow in order to be successful one?

What are the best experiences to get money in order to support doctorate school?

What are the best experiences about the teaching load of doctorate students?

problems of supervision are limited by the legal framework in different countries on scientific research

It's of the greatest interests to prepare dynamic and clear training methodology.

In the accommodation form we where informed that meal was included with a given price but we found out that lunch and dinner was not and the price was much higher.

Lecture hours must be respected. Frequent holiday slots

More concrete case studies from the participating Universities

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#)

Google Forms