

# MARDS Erasmus+ Kotor Conference June 2019 Questionnaire


14 responses

General data




## Country of origin

14 responses



## Institution


14 responses



## 1. Conference organization


## Information (about travel, accommodation etc.) received before the meeting from host partner, responding in time

14 responses




## General organization during the conference

14 responses



## Domestic arrangements (accommodation, transport, meals etc.)


14 responses



## 2. Conference contents


### Funding mechanisms of doctoral studies in Montenegro and Albania were clearly presented

14 responses




### State of the art in doctoral education in Montenegro and Albania and comparison with EU practices was clearly presented

14 responses



## Presentations from policy makers, Ministries from Albania and Montenegro provided important content

14 responses




## Presentations from MARDS team experts were informative

14 responses

## Future actions and plans were well explained to participants


14 responses



### 3. Quality of Project partnership


## The perceived commitment to the project by each partner (fulfilling the responsibilities set out at this project meeting) is very high

14 responses




## Communication amongst partners was effective

14 responses



## Development of teamwork and positive attitudes was evident


14 responses



### 4. Management and coordination


## The information (on tasks, materials for the meeting etc.) received before the meeting from the coordinator

14 responses




The coordinator facilitated understanding of the MARDS objectives and work plan for the next period

14 responses




The coordinator facilitates communication and collaboration between partners. Everyone was encouraged to contribute to discussion.

14 responses



The conference has been prepared and managed in the most (resource) efficient way in order to make best use of the available conference time

14 responses



How satisfied are you with the overall communication amongst the partners to date?

14 responses



## 5. General impression

### Please write at least two strengths (positive aspects) of this project conference

11 responses

A very good organized meeting with important issues discussed.  
Very good preparation by the coordinator and his team.

The reports developed by Melita and Lukas were good and provided a real analyse of the actual situation with doctoral studies in Albania and Montenegro.

1. Organization 2. Presentations and discussions

The conference was useful because it created the opportunity to enrich the reports with valid information, it informed about the actual situation of the project, helped all the partners have a clearer view of the continuity of the project and the measures to be taken within the project's scope.

A necessary meeting in order to discuss closer the issues.

1. Very good organised.  
2. This conference establish very good relations between partners to continue the project.

Good reports - a very good output for further work; good networking opportunity as a way to build a real partnership among project partners

The exchange of thoughts;

the general overview was very clear.

MARDS Web Page very excellent

It was clearly held and very helpful for the first time attendees.

### Please write at least two weaknesses of this project conference

9 responses

Not participation from Ministry of Montenegro. The representative from Education Ministry of Albania is not in charge for doctoral education so her contribution is weak. Some unneeded disputes between some partners.

The sample used to distributed the questionnaires could have been more extended outside the MARDS consortium.

Nothing

No weaknesses

-

Rather low commitment from some partners (many did not participate at all), not a very good communication and organisation in advance; no involvement of the Ministry of Edu/ research in Montenegro - this creates a risk for the project success

some partners stay completely silent; some were not at all present

NA

Not enough info about Tender Procedures

## What should be taken into account for the next conference / suggestions?

7 responses

More active participation from some partners. Engagement of responsible people from both Albanian and Montenegrin Ministries of Education.

Much more Albanian Universities should be invited to participate at June Conference to really include the problematic issues regarding doctoral studies all over HEI.

To be the same organization.

All partners must give more contributions.

Better organisation of events in advance - clarification of roles of partners in the event via communication and agreement with them, information about logistics in advance (how to get there, pre-arrangements in hotels etc.).

NA

Participations of policy makers

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#)

Google Forms

